



BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry 11 (2003) 225-233

# Synthesis of 2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(aminomethyl)-1*H*-pyrrole Analogues and Their Binding Affinities for Dopamine D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, and D<sub>4</sub> Receptors

Robert H. Mach,<sup>a,b,\*</sup> Yunsheng Huang,<sup>a</sup> Rebekah A. Freeman,<sup>c</sup> Li Wu,<sup>a</sup> Suwanna Blair<sup>a</sup> and Robert R. Luedtke<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Radiology, PET Center, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC 27157, USA <sup>b</sup>Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC 27157, USA <sup>c</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Neuroscience, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, 76107, USA

Received 22 March 2002; accepted 19 July 2002

**Abstract**—A series of 2-(5-bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(aminomethyl)-1H-pyrrole analogues was prepared and their affinity for dopamine  $D_2$ ,  $D_3$ , and  $D_4$  receptors was measured using in vitro binding assays. The results of receptor binding studies indicated that the incorporation of a pyrrole moiety between the phenyl ring and the basic nitrogen resulted in a significant increase in the selectivity for dopamine  $D_3$  receptors. The most selective compound in this series is 2-(5-bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(2-(3-pyridal)piperidinyl)methyl-1H-pyrrole ( $\mathbf{6p}$ ), which has a  $D_3$  receptor affinity of 4.3 nM, a 20-fold selectivity for  $D_3$  versus  $D_4$  receptors, and a 300-fold selectivity for  $D_3$  versus  $D_4$  receptors. This compound is predicted to be a useful ligand for studying the functional role of dopamine  $D_3$  receptors in vivo.

© 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Mulitple neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders, including Parkinson's disease. Tourette's syndrome, tardive dyskinesia, schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorders and addiction to psychostimulants, have been linked to an alteration in the function of the dopaminergic system. 1-3 There are two major pharmacologic classes of receptors that mediate dopaminergic neurotransmission, dopamine  $D_1$ -like  $(D_1, D_5)$  and  $D_2$ -like  $(D_2, D_3, and D_4)$  receptors. <sup>1-3</sup> Agonist stimulation of the  $D_1$ -like receptors causes an increase in adenylyl cyclase activity and a stimulation of K<sup>+</sup> efflux.<sup>2</sup> Agonist activation of the D<sub>2</sub>-like receptors results in an inhibition of adenylyl cyclase activity, an increase in the release of arachadonic acid, and an increase in phosphatidylinositol hydrolysis.<sup>2</sup> A complete understanding of the pharmacological and physiological roles of the different subtypes of the D<sub>1</sub>-like and D2-like receptors has been hindered by a lack of compounds with selectivity for each individual dopamine subtype.

The clinical effects of antipsychotics are thought to be due to their action on the D2-like receptors in the mesolimbic system, whereas the extrapyramidal side effects are thought to result after chronic blockade of D<sub>2</sub>-like receptors in the striatrum.<sup>1,3</sup> The localization of D<sub>3</sub> receptors in the limbic regions of brain suggest that this receptor subtype may be a target for the development of antipsychotics, with a reduced risk of causing extrapyramidal side effects. 1,4-6 This hypothesis is supported by the observation that most typical antipsychotics display a higher affinity for D<sub>2</sub> versus D<sub>3</sub> receptors and have a tendency to produce extrapyramidal symptoms.<sup>1,5</sup> In contrast, atypical antipsychotics have a high affinity for both D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>3</sub> receptors and a low risk of antipsychotic side effects.<sup>5,6</sup> Therefore, a dopamine antagonist that binds with high affinity at D<sub>3</sub> receptors and a lower affinity at D<sub>2</sub> receptors is predicted to be a useful antipsychotic with a decreased probability of causing extrapyramidal side effects. A number of recent studies have also indicated that D<sub>3</sub> receptor stimulation may mediate the reinforcing effects of cocaine. 7-10 Therefore, D<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists may be useful for the treatment of cocaine abuse. 8–10

 $<sup>\</sup>hbox{$*$Corresponding author. E-mail: rhmach@mir.wustl.edu}\\$ 

Recently, we reported a number of naphthamide analogues that bind with high affinity at dopamine  $D_3$  receptors with a reduced affinity for  $D_2$  receptors.<sup>11</sup> Unfortunately, the majority of these compounds also possessed a high affinity for sigma receptors, limiting their utility as dopamine  $D_3$  receptor probes. In a subsequent study, we reported the synthesis and in vitro binding of a number of imidazole analogues having a modest  $D_3$  receptor affinity and a reduced affinity for dopamine  $D_2$  receptors.<sup>12</sup> These compounds possessed a low affinity for sigma receptors, indicating that they may be useful agents for studying the function of dopamine  $D_3$  receptors in vivo. For example, compound  $\mathbf{1c}$  (Fig. 1) has a 4-fold higher affinity for  $D_3$  versus  $D_2$  receptors and a negligible affinity for  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  receptors (Table 1).

Previous studies have shown that replacing the benzamide moiety of sultopride with a pyrrole ring (i.e., DU 122290; Fig. 1) resulted in an improvement in the  $D_3$ selectivity of this class of compounds. 13 This study lead to the identification of a number of pyrrole analogues possessing a modest affinity and selectivity for D<sub>3</sub> versus D<sub>2</sub> receptors. <sup>14,15</sup> The goal of the current study was to replace the imidazole moiety of compounds such as 1 with a pyrrole ring in order to determine the effect of this structural change on  $D_3$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $\sigma_1$ , and  $\sigma_2$  receptor affinity. In addition, in vitro binding assays were conducted on a number of D<sub>3</sub>-selective compounds in order to determine their affinity for dopamine D<sub>4</sub> receptors. The results of this study revealed a number of compounds having a high affinity for dopamine D<sub>3</sub> receptors versus D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>4</sub> receptors. All compounds tested had low affinity for  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  receptors. Several of the compounds reported below are expected to be useful probes in studying the functional role of dopamine D<sub>3</sub> receptors in vivo.

### Results

# Chemistry

The synthesis of 2-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-pyrrole and 2-(5-bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-pyrrole was accomplished via the sequence of reactions outlined in Scheme 1. Mannich reaction with the appropriate secondary amine gave the final product in moderate to high yield. The corresponding 2-(2-(4-bromo-1-methoxynaphthyl)-1*H*-pyrrole analogues were synthesized in a similar manner as outlined in Scheme 2.

# Receptor binding

The in vitro receptor binding results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The binding affinity of compounds 5a was 44.6 nM for D<sub>2</sub> receptors, and 99.4 nM for D<sub>3</sub> receptors. Compound 6a, which contains a 5-bromo substitution on the benzamide aromatic ring, displayed a modest in affinity for D<sub>2</sub> receptors and a 26-fold increase in affinity for dopamine D<sub>3</sub> receptors in comparison with the binding data of compound 5a. These results are consistent with our previous study on structurally-related imidazole analogues. 12 Addition of a 6,7-dimethoxy group in the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety of 6a (i.e., **6b**) did not alter the affinity for  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors. However, this substitution resulted in a 2.5-fold reduction in affinity for dopamine D<sub>4</sub> receptors (Table 2). Furthermore, a comparison of the in vitro binding affinity of 6b versus that of 1b (Table 2) indicated that substitution of the imidazole ring with a pyrrole results in an improvement in affinity for D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>3</sub> receptors and an increase in D<sub>3</sub> selectivity. Substitution of the 1-position of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoguinoline moiety of **6b** with a methyl group had no effect on D<sub>2</sub> receptor affinity but resulted in a 6-fold reduction in D<sub>3</sub> affinity. This is in

$$H_{3}CO \longrightarrow H_{3} \longrightarrow H_{3}CO \longrightarrow H_{3} \longrightarrow H_{3}$$

Figure 1.

Table 1. Binding affinities for dopamine  $D_2/D_3$  and sigma  $\sigma_1/\sigma_2$  receptors

Compd	$K_{ m i}~({ m nM})^{ m a}$					
	$D_2^b$	D <sub>3</sub> <sup>c</sup>	$\sigma_1{}^d$	$\sigma_2^e$		
5a	44.6±11.8	99.4±19.8	ND	ND		
6a	$29.5 \pm 1.5$	$3.8 \pm 1.2$	> 1000	> 1000		
6b	$33.4 \pm 6.0$	$3.9 \pm 0.5$	> 1000	$460 \pm 27$		
6c	$26.3 \pm 4.8$	$23.8 \pm 7.3$	> 1000	$500 \pm 11$		
6d	$26.2 \pm 12.8$	$8.6 \pm 3.0$	> 1000	$52 \pm 5$		
6e	$373.0 \pm 40.0$	$1560 \pm 165$	> 1000	> 1000		
6f	$51.2 \pm 12.0$	$12.0 \pm 6.7$	> 1000	> 1000		
6g	$6.6 \pm 0.6$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$275 \pm 22$	$704 \pm 21$		
6h	$13,920 \pm 3150$	$5425 \pm 1630$	$136 \pm 8$	$286 \pm 11$		
6i	$19.0 \pm 2.6$	$1.9 \pm 0.6$	$166 \pm 31$	$512 \pm 10$		
6j	$10.9 \pm 4.1$	$5.4 \pm 3.8$	$167 \pm 14$	$219 \pm 36$		
6k	$22.3 \pm 3.0$	$14.1 \pm 0.9$	$112 \pm 4$	$352 \pm 7$		
<b>6</b> l	$27.8 \pm 11.0$	$2.6 \pm 21.4$	> 1000	> 1000		
6m	$135.6 \pm 1.9$	$98.4 \pm 23.7$	> 1000	> 1000		
6n	$31.5 \pm 6.7$	$21.0 \pm 10.7$	> 1000	> 1000		
<b>60</b>	$34.4 \pm 5.8$	$14.5 \pm 5.7$	> 1000	> 1000		
6p	$86.8 \pm 7.3$	$4.3 \pm 2.5$	> 1000	> 1000		
6q	$17.4 \pm 1.2$	$1.7 \pm 0.6$	$390 \pm 38$	$307 \pm 12$		
6r	$169.1 \pm 15.1$	$21.9 \pm 2.8$	> 1000	> 1000		
11a	$303.0 \pm 52.0$	$59.5 \pm 3.7$	> 1000	$26 \pm 2$		
11b	$253.6 \pm 54.2$	$20.1 \pm 1.8$	> 1000	> 1000		
11c	$354.2 \pm 41.4$	$27.5 \pm 2.0$	> 1000	> 1000		
11d	$198.7 \pm 137.0$	$35.2 \pm 8.9$	$309 \pm 6$	$87 \pm 6$		
1a	$315.5 \pm 140$	$664 \pm 148$	> 1000	> 1000		
1b	$78.2 \pm 29.0$	$23.8 \pm 11.0$	> 1000	> 1000		
1c	$143.0 \pm 48.7$	$21.2 \pm 4.7$	> 1000	> 1000		
1d	$11.9 \pm 7.4$	$10.8 \pm 7.6$	$542 \pm 19$	> 1000		
1e	$15.7 \pm 10.8$	$40.5 \pm 23.6$	> 1000	$412 \pm 37$		

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>a}Mean \pm SEM$ ,  $K_{i}$  values were determined by at least three experiments.

contrast to methyl substitution in the 3-position of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety (6d), which possessed an affinity for  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors similar to 6b. Surprisingly, addition of a phenyl group in the 1-position of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (6f) had a lower effect on  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptor affinity than the corresponding methyl substitution (i.e., compare 6b versus 6f and 6b vs 6c).

Compounds **6m**, **6n**, and **60** were prepared to explore the effect of replacing the benzene ring of **6a** with aromatic rings of increased steric demand. This substitution generally led to a decreased in affinity for  $D_3$  receptors. For example, the 4,6-benzo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline analogue (**6m**) had a significantly lower affinity for  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors relative to that of compound **6a**. The two 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9*H*-pyrido[3,4-b]bindole analogues, **6n** and **6o**, had a similar  $D_2$  affinity to **6a**. However, both **6n** and **6o** had a lower affinity for  $D_3$  receptors relative to **6a**.

The most potent analogue in this series of compounds was the diethylamino compound, 6g, which had a sub-

nanomolar affinity for  $D_3$  receptor and a 10-fold selectivity for  $D_3$  versus  $D_2$  receptors and a 20-fold selectivity for  $D_3$  versus  $D_4$  receptors (Table 2). Addition of a phenyl group to give the corresponding dibenzyl analogue, **6h**, resulted in a complete loss in affinity for both  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors.

The piperidinylmethyl analogue, 6i, also had a high affinity for dopamine  $D_3$  receptors and a  $D_3/D_2$  selectivity ratio and a  $D_3/D_4$  selectivity ratio of  $\sim 10$  (Table 2). Compounds 6j, 6k, 6l, and 6p demonstrate the effect of an aromatic ring in the piperidine ring of 6i. Substitution of either the 2- or 4-position of the piperidine ring resulted in either no change or a modest reduction in affinity for dopamine  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors. However, this substitution resulted in a pronounced reduction in affinity for dopamine  $D_4$  receptors. For example, compounds 6l and 6p had a low affinity for dopamine  $D_4$  receptors, whereas compound 6i had a  $D_4$  affinity of 18.4 nM (Table 2).

Compounds  $\mathbf{6q}$  and  $\mathbf{6r}$  were prepared in order to explore the effect of a five-membered amine moiety versus the corresponding six-membered ring. This structural change had no effect on dopamine receptor affinity for the benz-fused system (compare  $\mathbf{6a}$  and  $\mathbf{6q}$ ), whereas a dramatic reduction in  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  affinity, and an increase in  $D_4$  affinity, was observed with the corresponding 2-(3-pyridnyl)analogues (i.e.,  $\mathbf{6p}$  vs  $\mathbf{6r}$ ).

Another interesting observation was the replacement of the 5-bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl group with the corresponding 4-bromo-1-methoxynaphthyl moiety. Our previous studies have shown this substitution to result in an increase in dopamine  $D_3$  receptor affinity and no change in dopamine  $D_2$  receptor affinity for a series of structurally-related benzamide derivatives. Surprisingly, this structural change resulted in an unexpected decrease in the affinity of the pyrrole analogues for both dopamine  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors (Table 1). Finally, the affinity of compound  $\mathbf{6a-r}$  and  $\mathbf{11a-d}$  for sigma receptors was also measured. With the exception of compound  $\mathbf{11a}$ , all compounds in this series displayed either a low or negligible affinity for  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  receptors (Table 1).

### Discussion

In an earlier study, we reported a series of benzamide analogues possessing a high affinity for dopamine  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors. Molecular modeling studies revealed differences in the stereoelectronic properties of the benzamide-binding region of the  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors. These subtle differences in the electrostatic properties of this class of compounds suggested that isoteric replacement of the amide group with a heterocyclic ring could produce in a shift in affinity of these compounds for  $D_2$  and  $D_2$  receptors. These observations led to the synthesis and evaluation of a series of imidazole analogues as potential dopamine  $D_3$ -selective ligands. The most noteworthy compound to come from this follow-up study was  $\mathbf{1c}$  (Fig. 1), which had a modest affinity for dopamine  $D_3$  receptors and a  $D_2/D_3$  selectivity ratio of

 $<sup>^</sup>b\textit{K}_i$  values for  $D_2$  receptors were measured on rat  $D_{2(long)}$  expressed in Sf9 cells using [ $^{125}\text{I}]IABN$  as the radioligand.

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>c}K_{i}$  values for  $D_{3}$  receptors were measured on rat  $D_{3}$  expressed in Sf9 cells using [125]][ABN as the radioligand.

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>d}K_{i}$  values for  $\sigma_{1}$  receptors were measured on guinea pig brain membranes using [ ${}^{3}H$ ](+)-pentazocine as the radioligand.

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>c}K_{i}$  values for  $\sigma_{2}$  receptors were measured on rat liver membranes using [ ${}^{3}H$ ]-DTG as the radioligand in the presence of (+)-pentazocine.

Scheme 1. Reagents: (a) SOCl<sub>2</sub>/benzene; (b) Grignard reagent/CuBr/-70°C/THF, then aqueous acid; (c) 2 N HCl/methanol; (d) ammonium acetate/ethanol; (e) formaldehyde/amine.

 $\sim$ 7 (Table 1). While this research was being conducted, it was reported that the isoteric substitution of a pyrrole ring for the benzamide moiety of (S)-sultopride resulted in a compound (i.e., DU 122290) with an improved  $D_2/D_3$ 

selectivity ratio relative to the parent compound (Fig. 1). This study led to the synthesis of a number of pyrrole-based analogues displaying a high affinity and modest selectivity for dopamine  $D_3$  versus  $D_2$  receptors.<sup>14,15</sup>

OCH<sub>3</sub> OH a BrMg OCH<sub>3</sub> IIb: 
$$NR_1R_2 =$$
 NOCH<sub>3</sub> OCH<sub>3</sub> IIb:  $NR_1R_2 =$  NOCH<sub>3</sub> OCH<sub>3</sub> IIb:  $NR_1R_2 =$  NOCH<sub>3</sub> OCH<sub>3</sub> IIb:  $NR_1R_2 =$  NOCH<sub>3</sub> IIIb:  $NR_1R_2 =$  NOCH<sub>3</sub> IIB:  $NR_1R_2 =$  NOCH<sub>3</sub> IIIb:  $NR_1R_2 =$  NOCH<sub>3</sub> IIB:  $N$ 

Scheme 2. Reagents (a) SOCl<sub>2</sub>/benzene; (b) Grignard reagent/CuBr/-70°C/THF, then aqueous acid; (c) ammonium acetate/ethanol; (d) formal-dehyde/diethylamine.

The goal of the current study was to prepare a number of pyrrole analogues of our imidazole-based compounds. The results of the current study revealed that the pyrrole analogues had a high affinity and greater  $D_2/D_3$  selectivity ratio than the corresponding imidazole analogues. For example, a comparison of 5a versus 1a, 6a versus 1b, 6d versus 1c and 6j versus 1d reveals that the corresponding pyrrole analogue has a higher affinity for both  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors and, with the exception of 1a and 5a, a higher  $D_2/D_3$  selectivity ratio than the corresponding imidazole analogue. Furthermore, the imidazole analogue 1e was somewhat selective for D<sub>2</sub> versus D<sub>3</sub> receptors whereas the corresponding pyrrole, 6k, had a higher affinity for D<sub>3</sub> receptors than D<sub>2</sub> receptors. These data suggest that the pyrrole ring, which is a  $\pi$ -excessive heteroaromatic ring, represents a better isoteric substitution for the benzamide moiety than an imidazole ring, which is a  $\pi$ -deficient heteroaromatic ring. Further studies are needed with other  $\pi$ -deficient and  $\pi$ -excessive heteroaromatic ring systems to test this hypothesis.

A second goal of this study was to determine the effect of the location of the aromatic ring in the tertiary amine moiety on  $D_2$ -like dopamine receptor binding. Benzfused systems such as the 1,2,3,4-tertrahydroisoquinoline analogues, **6a** and **6b**, displayed a high  $D_3$  affinity and modest  $D_2/D_3$  selectivity ratio. Increasing the degree of steric bulk of the benz-fused system, such as in the 4,5-benz-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolone analogue, **6m**, and the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9*H*-pyrido[3,4-*b*]indole analogues, **6n** and **6o**, resulted in a reduction in affinity for dopamine  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors. Both the diethylaminomethyl analogue, **6g**, and the piperidinylmethyl analogue, **6i**, had a high  $D_3$  affinity and a 10-fold selectivity for  $D_3$  versus  $D_2$  receptors. Substitution of the

Table 2. In vitro binding data for dopamine D4 receptors

Compd		$K_{\rm i}~({ m nM})^{ m a}$					
	$D_2^b$	D <sub>3</sub> <sup>c</sup>	$\mathrm{D_4}^\mathrm{d}$	D <sub>2</sub> /D <sub>3</sub> ratio <sup>e</sup>	D <sub>4</sub> /D <sub>3</sub> ratio <sup>f</sup>		
1	143±48.9	21.2±4.7	244±41	6.7	11.5		
6a	$29.5 \pm 1.5$	$3.8 \pm 1.2$	$40 \pm 20$	7.8	10.5		
6b	$33.4 \pm 6.0$	$3.9 \pm 0.5$	$98.5 \pm 51.7$	8.6	25.3		
6g	$6.6 \pm 0.6$	$0.64 \pm 0.2$	$12.9 \pm 4.5$	10.3	20		
6i	$19.1 \pm 2.6$	$1.9 \pm 0.6$	$18.4 \pm 4.1$	10	9.7		
6l	$27.8 \pm 11.0$	$2.6 \pm 1.4$	$3.074 \pm 924$	10.7	1182		
6р	$86.8 \pm 7.3$	$4.3 \pm 2.5$	$1.272 \pm 598$	20.2	296		
6q	$17.4 \pm 1.2$	$1.7 \pm 0.6$	$47.9 \pm 19.0$	10.2	28		
6r	$1.69 \pm 15.1$	$21.9\pm2.8$	$491 \pm 65$	7.7	22.4		

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>mathrm{a}}\mathrm{Mean} \pm \mathrm{SEM},~K_{\mathrm{i}}$  values were determined by at least three experiments.

4-position of the piperidine ring with a phenyl group, 6j, or a phenylmethyl group, **6k**, resulted in a reduction in  $D_3$  affinity relative to the unsubstituted compound, **6i**. However, substitution of the 4-position of **6i** with a 4–2keto-1-benzimidazolinyl group, 61, or the 2-position with a 3-pyridyl group, **6p**, resulted in compounds with a high D<sub>3</sub> affinity and moderate D<sub>2</sub>/D<sub>3</sub> selectivity ratio (Table 2). In addition, this substitution had a large effect on the affinity of these compounds for dopamine  $D_4$  receptors. That is, the affinity of **6i** for  $D_4$  receptors was 18.4 nM, whereas the affinity of **6l** and **6p** for the dopamine D<sub>4</sub> receptor was 3074 and 1272 nM, respectively. The compound displaying the highest affinity and selectivity for  $D_3$  versus  $D_2$  and  $D_4$  receptors is **6p**, which has a  $D_2/D_3$  selectivity ratio of 20.2 and a  $D_4/D_3$ selectivity ratio of 296.

The final goal of this structure–activity relationship study was to replace the 5-bromo-2,3-dimethoxy phenyl group with a 2-(4-bromo-1-methoxynaphthyl) group. Our previous studies with structurally-related benzamide analogues indicated that this substitution resulted in an improvement in the  $D_3$  affinity and  $D_2/D_3$  selectivity ratio of this class of compounds.  $^{11}$  An unexpected result of this study was that the introduction of a 2-(5-bromo-1-methoxy)naphthyl group into the pyrrole series of compounds resulted in a dramatic reduction in affinity for both  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors. Molecular modeling studies are currently being conducted to determine the orientation of the aromatic rings within dopamine  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptor binding sites.

In conclusion, a number of 2-(5-bromo-2,3-dimethoxy)-1H-pyrrole analogues were prepared and their affinities for dopamine  $D_2$ ,  $D_3$  and  $D_4$  receptors and sigma receptors were measured using in vitro binding assays. The pyrrole analogues had a higher affinity for  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  receptors than the imidazole analogues reported in an earlier study. Several of the pyrrole compounds had a high affinity for dopamine  $D_3$  receptors and a  $D_2/D_3$  selectivity ratio ranging from 10 to 20. These compounds

also had a relatively low affinity for  $D_4$  receptors when compared to their affinity for dopamine  $D_3$  receptors. With the exception of compound 11a, all compounds had a low affinity for  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  receptors. The most noteworthy compound identified in this study is 6p, which has a high  $D_3$  affinity with excellent  $D_2/D_3$  and  $D_4/D_3$  selectivity ratios. This compound is predicted to be useful for studying the functional role of  $D_3$  receptors in vivo.

### **Experimental**

# Chemistry

Melting points were measured on a Fisher–Johns melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed at Atlantic Microlab, Inc., Norcross, GA, USA. Where molecular formulae were indicated, analyses were found to be within 0.4% of the theoretical values, unless otherwise noted. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz on a Bruker ADVANCE300 spectrometer. All <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were obtained in either CDCl<sub>3</sub> or DMSO- $d_6$  and results are recorded as parts per million (ppm) downfield to tetramethylsilane (TMS). The following abbreviations are used for multiplicity of NMR signals: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, dd = double doublet, dt = double triplet, dq = double quartet, br = broad. Mass spectrometry studies (high resolution FAB) were conducted by the Washington University Resource for Biomedical and Bio-organic Mass Spectrometry, St. Louis, MO, USA. All starting materials and solvents were purchased from Aldrich, Fisher, or Lancaster and were used without further purification.

Preparation of 2-(5-bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*pyrrole (4b). A solution of 2-(2-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane (12.5 g, 69 mmol) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (20 mL) was added to a stirred suspension of magnesium (3 g, 123 mmol) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (80 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min. The solution was transferred under a nitrogen atmosphere to a three-necked round bottom flask (500 mL) and cooled to 0°C. Powder copper bromide (9.3 g, 65 mmol) was slowly added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 5–15 °C for 20 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to -70 °C (dry ice– acetone) and a solution of 5-bromo-2,3-dimethylbenzoyl chloride (15.37 g, 55 mmol) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (100 mL) was added slowly over 15 min and the reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for an additional 60 min. The dry ice-acetone bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 18 h. The mixture was poured into an ice cold 2N solution of aqueous HCl (200 mL) and the mixture was extracted with ether  $(3\times100 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil that was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate, 8:2) to give **2b** (10.89 g, 57%). This material was dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of 2 N HCl in methanol and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h.

 $<sup>^</sup>b\mathit{K}_i$  values for  $D_2$  receptors were measured on rat  $D_{2(long)}$  expressed in Sf9 cells using [^1251]IABN as the radioligand.

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>c}K_{i}$  values for  $D_{3}$  receptors were measured on rat  $D_{3}$  expressed in Sf9 cells using [125]]IABN as the radioligand.

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>d}K_{i}$  for inhibiting the binding of [125I]IABN to human D<sub>4,4</sub> receptors.

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>e}K_{i}$  for  $D_{2}/Ki$  for  $D_{3}$ .

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>f}K_{i}$  for  $D_{4}/K_{i}$  for  $D_{3}$ .

Volatile components were removed to give crude **3b** as a yellow oil. Ethanol (100 mL) and ammonium acetate (19 g) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 h. The mixture was poured into cold water (250 mL) and washed with ethyl acetate (3×100 mL). Volatile components were removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate, 9:10 to give **4b** as a fluffy white solid (8.95 g; 96%), mp 80–81 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.84 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d, 1H, J=2.4 Hz), 6.88–6.91 (m, 1H), 6.83 (d, 1H, J=1.9 Hz), 6.58–6.60 (m, 1H), 6.25–6.30 9m, 1H), 3.88 s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H). Analysis ( $C_{12}H_{12}NO_2Br$ ) C, H, N.

**2-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1***H***-pyrrole (4a).** Compound **4a** was obtained by the same method as described for **4b** in an overall yield of 17% from 2,3-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride, mp 58–59 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.22–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.02–7.08 (m, 1H), 6.88–6.90 (m, 1H), 6.73–6.77 (m, 1H), 6.59–6.62 (m, 1H), 6.59–6.62 (m, 1H), 6.27–6.30 (m, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H). Analysis (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>) C, H, N.

**2-(2-(4-Bromo-1-methoxynaphthyl)-1***H***-pyrrole** (11). Compound 11 was obtained by the same method as described for 4b in an overall yield of 28% from 8, mp 118–120 (dec.).  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.90 (s, 1H), 8.07–8.23 (m, 2H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 7.53–7.62 (m, 2H), 6.96–6.97 (m, 1H), 6.68–6.69 (m, 1H), 6.33–6.36 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H). Analysis (C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>NOBr)C, H, N.

2-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolino)methyl-1*H*-pyrrole (5a). A solution of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.13 g, 1 mmol), 30% aqueous formaldehyde (0.081 g) and acetic acid (0.08 g) in ethanol (25 mL) was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min. At that time 2-(2,3-dimethoxyohenyl-1*H*-pyrrole (0.2 g, 1.0 mmol) was added and reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for an additional 18 h. The solvent was removed and the product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (dichloromethane/ ethanol, 9.75:0.25) to give 5a as a white solid, which was converted to the corresponding oxalate salt (0.33 g, 75%), mp 172–174°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 6.88–7.21 (m, 7H), 6.70–6.73 (m, 1H), 6.52–6.54 (m, 1H), 6.12–6.14 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 2.89–2.93 (m, 2H), 2.77–2.81 (m, 2H). Analysis (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) 1/2 H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

**2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-isoquinolino)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole (6a).** Compound **6a** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 69% yield, mp 128–130 °C (HCl salt). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.32 (d, 1H, J= 2.3 Hz), 7.10–7.15 (m, 4H), 6.99–7.01 (m, 1H), 6.81 (d, 1H, J= 2.3 Hz), 6.51–6.53 (m, 1H), 6.13–6.16 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 6H), 3.73 (s, 2H), 3.64 (s, 2H), 2.93–2.95 (m, 2H), 2.83–2.85 (m, 2H); ms (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Br,  $M_r$ = 426.0943) 427.0996 (M+1).

2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(4,5-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolino)methyl-1*H*-pyrrole (6b). Compound 6b was obtained by the same method as described for 5a

in 98% yield, mp 84–86°C (free amine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.91 (s, 1H), 7.31 (d, 1H, J=1.9 Hz), 6.81 (d, 1H, J=2.0 Hz), 6.60 (s, 1H), 6.51 (t, 1H, J=2.9 Hz), 6.48 (s, 1H), 6.13 (t, 1H, J=2.9 Hz), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 2.82 (d, 2H, J=4.9 Hz), 2.77 (d, 2H, J=4.9 Hz). Analysis (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Br) C, H, N.

**2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(4,5-dimethoxy-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahyroisoquinolino)methyl-1***H*-**pyrrole (6c).** Compound **6c** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 49% yield, mp 164–166 °C (oxalate salt). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.90 (s, 1H), 7.31–7.32 (m, 1H), 6.81–6.82 (m, 1H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 6.49–6.52 (m, 2H), 6.07–6.09 (m, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.05–3.15 (m, 1H), 2.74–2.92 (m, 4H), 1.40 (s, 3H). Analysis (C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

**2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(4,5-dimethoxy-8-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolino)methyl-1***H*-pyrrole (6d). Compound 6d was obtained by the same method as described for 5a in 67% yield, mp 164–166 °C (oxalate salt). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 11.11 (s, 1H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 6.63–6.69 (m, 1H), 6.54–6.57 (m, 1H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 6.09–6.11 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 2H), 3.85 (s, 12H), 3.26 (s, 4H), 2.72–2.77 (m, 1H), 1.45 (s, 3H). Analysis (C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>) C, H, N.

**2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(2-cyanomethyl-4,5-dimethoxy - 1,2,3,4 - tetrahydroisoquinolino)methyl - 1**H-pyrrole (6e). Compound 6e was obtained by the same method as described for 5a in 88% yield, mp 104–106 °C (free amine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.93 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, 1H, J=2.3 Hz), 6.82 (d, 1H, J=2.3 Hz), 6.61 (s, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 6.51 (t, 1H, J=3.0 Hz), 6.10 (t, 1H, J=3.0 Hz), 3.87 (s, 6H), 3.85 (s, 5H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.08–3.17 (m, 1H), 2.82–2.90 (m, 2H), 2.70–2.76 (m, 2H), 2.55–2.67 (m, 2H). Analysis (C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Br) C, H, N.

**2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(4,5-dimethoxy-2-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolino)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole (6f).** Compound **6f** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 57% yield, mp 121–123 °C (free amine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.83 (s, 1H), 7.22–7.38 (m, 6H), 6.80 (d, 1H, J=2.2 Hz), 6.59 (s, 1H), 6.46 (t, 1H, J=2.9 Hz), 6.16 (s, 1H), 6.03 (t, 1H, J=2.9 Hz), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 5H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 3.40 (s, 1H), 2.96–3.16 (m, 2H), 2.53–2.73 (m, 2H). Analysis ( $C_{30}H_{31}N_{2}O_{4}Br$ ) C, H, N.

Preparation of 2-(5-bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-diethylaminomethyl-1*H*-pyrrole (6g). Compound 6g was obtained by the same method as described for 5a in 70% yield, mp 140–141 °C (oxalate salt).  $^{1}H$  NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.95 (s, 1H), 7.31–7.32 (m, 1H), 6.80–6.81 (m, 1H), 6.48–6.50 (m, 1H), 6.04–6.05 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.64 (s, 2H), 2.55 (q, 4H, J=7.2 Hz), 1.06 (t, 6H, J=7.1 Hz). Analysis (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Br) C, H, N.

**2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-diphenylmethylamino-methyl-1***H***-pyrrole (6h).** Compound **6h** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 50% yield, mp

- 247 °C (dec., oxalate salt).  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $^{8}$  7.39–7.42 (m, 5H), 7.30–7.35 (m, 5H), 7.20–7.25 (m, 2H), 6.81 (d, 1H, J=6.0 Hz), 6.46 (t, 1H, J=5.1 Hz), 6.09 (t, 1H, J=5.1 Hz), 3.91 (s, 3H, 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 4H), 3.57 (s, 2H).
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-piperinylmethyl-1***H***-pyrrole (6i).** Compound **6i** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 87% yield, mp 182–184 °C (oxalate salt). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.91 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.31 (m, 1H), 6.80–6.82 (m, 1H), 6.46–6.48 (m, 1H), 6.03–6.05 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.51 (s, 2H), 2.40 (s, 4H), 1.51–1.61 (m, 4H), 1.43 (s, 2H). Analysis (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Br) C, H, N.
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(4-phenylpiperidinyl)-methyl-1***H***-pyrrole (6j).** Compound **6j** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 71% yield, mp 158–160 °C (oxalate salt). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.91 (s, 1H), 7.17–7.33 (m, 6H), 6.81–6.82 (m, 1H), 6.48–6.50 (m, 1H) 6.06–6.08 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 3.00–3.05 (m, 2H), 2.47–2.55 (m, 1H), 2.08–2.16 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.82 (m, 4H). Analysis (C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Br) C, H, N.
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(4-phenylmethylpiperidinyl)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole** (**6k**). Compound **6k** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 55% yield, mp 137–139 °C (oxalate salt). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta$  9.89 (s, 1H), 7.11–7.31 (m, 6H), 6.81–6.82 (m, 1H), 6.46–6.48 (m, 1H), 6.01–6.03 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.52 (s, 2H), 2.86–2.89 (m, 2H), 2.52–2.54 (m, 2H), 1.91–1.98 (m, 2H), 1.53–1.61 (m, 3H), 1.22–1.34 (m, 2H). Analysis (C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Br) C, H, N.
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(4-(2-keto-1-benzimidazolinyl)piperidinyl)methyl-1***H*-pyrrole (6l). Compound **6l** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 46% yield, mp 189–191 °C (free amine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.90 (s, 1H), 8.80 (s, 1H), 7.33 (d, 1H, J= 2.3 Hz), 7.07–7.09 (m, 3H), 6.83 (d, 1H, J= 2.3 Hz), 6.49 (t, 1H, J= 3.1 Hz), 6.10 (t, 1H, J= 3.1 Hz), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 3.05–3.10 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.52 (m, 2H), 2.15–2.25 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.87 (m, 2H). Analysis ( $C_{25}H_{27}N_4O_3Br$ ) C, H, N.
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(4,5-benzo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolino)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole** (6m). Compound 6m was obtained by the same method as described for 5a in 50% yield, mp 88–89 °C (free amine).  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.88 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, 2H, J= 8.2 Hz), 7.39 (t, 2H, J= 7.6 Hz), 7.31 (d, 1H, J= 2.3 Hz), 7.16 (d, 2H, J= 7.1 Hz), 6.79 (d, 1H, J= 2.3 Hz), 6.53 (t, 1H, J= 3.1 Hz), 6.15 (t, 1H, J= 3.1 Hz), 4.01 (s, 4H), 3.85 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H). Analysis ( $C_{27}$ H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Br) C, H, N.
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9***H***-pyrido[3,4-***b***]<b>indole)methyl)-1***H***-pyrrole (6n).** Compound **6n** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 95% yield, mp 100–101 °C (free amine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.92 (s, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H),

- 7.47 (d, 1H, J=7.1 Hz), 7.32 (d, 1H, J=2.2 Hz), 7.26–7.30 (m, 1H), 7.08–7.15 (m, 2H), 6.81 (d, 1H, J=2.2 Hz), 6.52 (t, 1H, J=3.0 Hz), 6.13 (t, 1H, J=3.0 Hz), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 2H), 2.81–2.95 (m, 4H). Analysis ( $C_{24}H_{24}N_3O_2Br$ ) C, H, N.
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(1-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9H-pyrido[3,4-b]indole)methyl)-1***H*-**pyrrole (60).** Compound **60** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 89% yield, mp 82–83 °C (free amine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.93 (s, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.32 (d, 2H, J=2.3 Hz), 7.18 (d, 1H, J=8.6 Hz), 6.93 (d, 1H, J=2.3 Hz), 6.77–6.82 (m, 2H), 6.52 (t, 1H, J=3.0 Hz), 6.13 (t, 1H, J=3.0 Hz), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.81 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 2H), 2.93 (t, 2H, J=5.6 Hz), 2.80 (t, 2H, J=5.6 Hz). Analysis (C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Br) C, H, N.
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(2-(3-pyridyl)-piperidinyl)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole (6p).** Compound **6p** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 73% yield, mp 139–140 °C (free amine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.84 (s, 1H), 8.62 (s, 1H), 8.48–8.50 (m, 1H), 7.77–7.80 (m, 1H), 7.24–7.31 (m, 2H), 6.82 (d, 1H, J= 2.2 Hz), 6.43 (t, 1H, J= 3.0 Hz), 5.94 (t, 1H, J= 3.0 Hz), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.61 (d, 2H, J= 14.2 Hz), 316–3.21 (m, 2H), 3.02–3.10 (m, 3H), 2.03–2.11 (m, 2H), 1.76–1.84 (m, 2H). Analysis (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Br) C, H, N.
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(3,4-benzopyrrolidinyl)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole (6q).** Compound **6q** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 37% yield, mp 185–187 °C (free amine).  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.89 (s, 1H), 7.32 (d, 1H, J= 2.3 Hz), 7.19 (s, 4H), 6.81 (d, 1H, J= 2.3 Hz), 6.52 (t, 1H, J= 3.1 Hz), 6.13 (t, 1H, J= 3.1 Hz), 3.97 (s, 4H), 3.93 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H). Analysis (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Br) C, H, N.
- **2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(2-(3-pyridyl)pyrrolidinyl)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole (6r).** Compound **6r** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 73% yield, mp 71–74°C (dioxalate salt). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.61 (s, 1H), 8.48–8.50 (m, 1H), 7.76–7.83 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.38 (m, 3H), 6.82 (d, 1H, J=2.2 Hz), 6.44 (t, 1H, J=3.1 Hz), 6.00 (s, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.20–3.50 (m, 5H), 2.25–2.45 (m, 4H). Analysis ( $C_{26}H_{28}N_3O_{10}Br\cdot 2H_2O$ ) C, H, N.
- **2-(2-(4-Bromo-1-methoxynapthyl)-5-(4,5-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolino)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole** (**11a**). Compound **11a** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 78% yield from 10, mp 196–198 °C (oxalate salt). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 10.05 (s, 1H), 8.05–8.18 (m, 2H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 7.50–7.60 (m, 2H), 6.62 (s, 2H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 6.19–6.23 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.62 (s, 2H), 2.80–2.90 (m, 6H). Analysis (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Br) C, H, N.
- **2-(2-(4-Bromo-1-methoxynaphthyl)-5-(4-(2-keto-1-benz-imidazolin-yl)piperidinyl)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole (11b).** Compound **11b** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 75% yield from 10, mp 202 °C (dec., free amine).  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz. CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.12–8.18

(m, 2H), 8.03 (s, 1H), 7.52–7.61 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.07 (s, 4H), 6.58–6.60 (m, 1H), 6.17–6.20 (m, 1H), 4.36–4.40 (m, 1H) 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 2H), 3.11–3.22 (m, 2H), 2.48–2.55 (m, 2H), 2.20–2.28 (m, 2H), 1.83–1.87 (m, 2H). Analysis ( $C_{28}H_{27}N_4O_2Br$ ) C, H, N.

**2-(2-(4-Bromo-1-methoxynaphthyl)-5-(2-(3-pyridyl)-piperidinyl)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole (11c).** Compound **11c** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 91% yield from 10, mp 85–87 °C (oxalate salt).  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.90 (s, 1H), 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.50–8.53 (m, 1H), 8.10–8.16 (m, 2H), 8.00 (s, 1H), 7.80–7.83 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.33 (m, 1H) 6.53–6.57 (m, 1H), 5.98–6.02 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.65–3.70 (m, 2H), 3.08–3.25 (m, 4H), 2.05–2.15 (m, 1H), 1.58–1.85 (m, 4H). Analysis ( $C_{30}$ H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Br) C, H, N.

**2-(5-Bromo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(3,4-benzopyrrolidinyl)methyl-1***H***-pyrrole** (**11d).** Compound **11d** was obtained by the same method as described for **5a** in 42% yield from 10, mp 193–194 °C (free amine).  $^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  10.05 (s, 1H), 8.05–8.15 (m, 2H), 8.03 (s, 1H), 7.50–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.20 (s, 4H), 6.60–6.65 (m, 1H), 6.19–6.22 (m, 1H), 4.01 (s, 4H), 3.99 (s, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H). Analysis ( $C_{26}H_{23}N_2O_5Br$ ) C, H, N.

# In vitro binding assays

In vitro dopamine receptor binding studies were conducted using membranes prepared from (a) *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Sf9) cells that express a high density of either rat  $D_{2(long)}$  or rat  $D_3$  or (b) HEK 293 cells expressing human  $D_{4.4}$  receptors. The radioligand used was [ $^{125}$ I]IABN and the assay conditions have been previously described. $^{17}$  The  $K_i$  values were calculated from the corresponding IC<sub>50</sub> values using the method of Cheng and Prusoff. $^{18}$ 

In vitro  $\sigma_1$  receptor binding affinity was measured in guinea pig brain membranes (Rockland Biological, Gilbertsville, PA, USA) using the  $\sigma_1$ -selective radioligand, [ ${}^3H$ ](+)-pentazocine (DuPont-NEN, Bilerica, MA, USA) according to the methods as described previously. In vitro  $\sigma_2$  receptor binding affinity was measured in rat liver membranes using [ ${}^3H$ ]DTG (DuPont-NEN, Bilerica, MA, USA) as the radioligand in the presence of (+)-pentazocine (100 nM) as previously described.

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by PHS grants DA 09142, DA 09147 and DA 12647 from the National Institute on Drug Abuse.

### References and Notes

- 1. Levant, B. Pharmacol. Rev. 1997, 49, 231.
- 2. Missale, C.; Nash, S. R.; Robinson, S. W.; Jaber, M.; Caron, M. G. *Physiol. Rev.* **1998**, *78*, 189.
- 3. Schwartz, J. C.; Diaz, J.; Pilon, C.; Sokoloff, P. *Brain Res. Rev.* **2000**, *31*, 277.
- 4. Bouthenet, M. L.; Souil, E.; Martres, M. P.; Sokoloff, P.; Giros, B.; Schwartz, J. C. *Brain Res.* **1991**, *564*, 203.
- 5. Sokoloff, P.; Giros, B.; Martes, M. P.; Bouthenet, M. L.; Schwartz, J. C. *Nature* **1990**, *347*, 146.
- 6. Schwartz, J. C.; Levesque, D.; Martes, M. P.; Sokoloff, P. Clin. Neuropharmacol. 1993, 16, 295.
- 7. Caine, S. B.; Koob, G. F. Science 1993, 260, 1814.
- 8. Parsons, L. H.; Caine, S. B.; Sokoloff, P.; Schwartz, J. C.; Koob, G. F.; Weiss, F. *J. Neurochem.* **1996**, *67*, 1078.
- 9. Sinnot, R. S.; Mach, R. H.; Nader, M. A. Drug Alcohol Depend. 1999, 54, 97.
- 10. Pilla, M.; Perachon, S.; Sautel, F.; Garrido, F.; Mann, A.; Wermuth, C. G.; Schwartz, J. C.; Everitt, B. J.; Sokoloff, P. *Nature* **1999**, *400*, 371.
- 11. Huang, Y.; Luedtke, R. R.; Freeman, R. A.; Wu, L.; Mach, R. H. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 1815.
- 12. Huang, Y.; Luedtke, R. R.; Freeman, R. A.; Wu, L.; Mach, R. H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *9*, 3113.
- 13. Bolton, D.; Boyfield, I.; Coldwell, M. C.; Hadley, M. S.; Healy, M. A. M.; Johnson, C. N.; Markwell, R. E.; Nash, D. J.; Riley, G. J.; Stemp, G.; Wadsworth, H. *J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1996**, *6*, 1233.
- 14. Boyfield, I.; Coldwell, M. C.; Hadley, M. S.; Healy, M. A. M.; Johnson, C. N.; Nash, D. J.; Riley, G. J.; Scott, E. E.; Smith, S. A.; Stemp, G. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, 7, 327. 15. Bolton, D.; Boyfield, I.; Coldwell, M. C.; Hadley, M. S.; Johns, A.; Johnson, C. N.; Markwell, R. E.; Nash, D. J.; Riley, G. J.; Scott, E. E.; Smith, S. A.; Stemp, G.; Wadsworth, H. J.; Watts, E. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, 7, 485.
- 16. Mach, R. H.; Hammond, P. S.; Huang, Y.; Yang, B.; Xu, Y.; Cheney, J. T.; Freeman, R.; Luedtke, R. R. *Med. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *9*, 355.
- 17. Luedtke, R. R.; Freeman, R. A.; Boundy, V. A.; Martin, M. W.; Huang, Y. H.; Mach, R. H. *Synapse* **2000**, *38*, 438.
- 18. Cheng, Y. C.; Prusoff, W. H. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1973**, 22 3099
- 19. Huang, Y.; Hammond, P. S.; Whirrett, B. R.; Kuhner, R. J.; Wu, L.; Childers, S. R.; Mach, R. H. *J. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *41*, 2361.